

Name of the Student: _____

Max. Marks : 24 Marks

Time : 24 Minutes

Mark Schemes

Q1.

- (a) Use of $\rho = RA / l$
 cross sectional area = $\pi (3.7 \times 10^{-3})^2 = 4.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (m}^2\text{)} \checkmark$

$$\rho = \frac{3.3 \times 4.3 \times 10^{-5}}{1000} \checkmark = 1.4(2) \times 10^{-7} \checkmark \Omega \text{ m} \checkmark$$

area : lose first mark if use diameter as radius or fail to convert to m^2 (if both errors still only lose 1 mark)

CE area for next two marks but if uses diameter in place of area then lose first two marks

if leave length in km lose 2nd mark but CE for answer

UNIT stand-alone 4th mark

4

- (b) (current in) steel wire (is less than the current in an) aluminium wire as it has a higher resistivity / resistance OR aluminium is better conductor \checkmark
 the six aluminium wires are in parallel OR total cross-sectional area of aluminium is 6 times greater than steel wire \checkmark
 each aluminium wire carries three times as much current as the (single) steel wire \checkmark

3

- (c) resistance of 1 km of 6 Al cables in parallel = $\frac{1.1}{6} = 0.183 \Omega \checkmark$

if ignored the steel wire then can score first and third mark

total resistance of the cable = $0.174 \Omega \checkmark$

power loss per km = 32.3 kW (or 30.7 kW if they ignore the steel) \checkmark

OR

power loss in 1 km of steel = $1.70 \text{ kW} \checkmark$

power loss in 1 km each of Al cable = $5.11 \text{ kW} \checkmark$

total power loss per km = 32.4 kW (or 30.7 kW if they ignore the steel) \checkmark

OR

calculate current in steel wire and aluminium wire (22.7 and 68.2) \checkmark

calculate power loss in aluminium wire and steel wire (1700 and 5115) \checkmark

calculate total power loss ($1700 + 6 \times 5115 = 32,4 \text{ kW}$) \checkmark

accept range 32 kW to 33 kW

if ignored steel wire

range for third mark is 30 kW to 31 kW

Q2.

- (a) (i) 5.1 and 7.1 ✓

Exact answers only

1

- (ii) Both plotted points to nearest mm ✓

Best line of fit to points ✓

The line should be a straight line with approximately an equal number of points on either side of the line

2

- (iii) Large triangle drawn at least 8 cm × 8 cm ✓

Correct values read from graph ✓

Gradient value in range 0.190 to 0.210 to 2 or 3 sf ✓

3

- (iv)
- $(R = \frac{1}{\text{gradient}}) = 5.0 \Omega$
- Must have unit ✓

*Allow ecf from gradient value***No sf penalty**

1

- (b) (i) 5.04 (
- Ω
-) or 5.0 (
- Ω
-) s

(Allow also 5.06 Ω or 5.1 Ω , obtained by intermediate rounding up of 3.50²)

From $R = \frac{V^2}{P}$

1

- (ii) (Uncertainty in
- $V = 0.29\%$
-)
-
- Uncertainty in
- $V^2 = 0.57\%$
- , 0.58% or 0.6% ✓

From uncertainty in $V = 0.01 / 3.50 \times 100\%$ Uncertainty in $P = 2.1\%$ ✓From uncertainty in $P = 0.05 / 2.43 \times 100\% = 2.1\%$ Uncertainty in $R = 2.6\%$, 2.7% or 3%

Answer to 1 or 2 sf only ✓

$$2.1\% + \text{uncertainty in } V^2 (0.6\%) = 2.7\%$$

Allow ecf from incorrect uncertainty for V^2 or P

3

- (iii) (Absolute) uncertainty in
- R
- is (
- \pm
-) 0.14 or just 0.1
- Ω
- (using 2.6%)
-
- (or 0.15 or 0.2
- Ω
- using 3%) ✓

*Must have unit (Ω)**Must be to 1 or 2 sf and must be consistent with sf used from (ii)**No penalty for omitting \pm sign*

1

- (iv) Works out possible range of values of R based on uncertainty in (iii), e.g. R is in range 5.0 to 5.2 Ω using uncertainty of $\pm 0.1 \Omega$ ✓
No credit for statement to effect that the values are or are not consistent, without any reference to uncertainty
Allow ecf from (iii)

Value from (a)(iv) is within the calculated range (or not depending on figures, allowing ecf) ✓

Allow ecf from (a)(iv)

2

[14]