

Name of the Student: \_\_\_\_\_

Max. Marks : 25 Marks

Time : 25 Minutes

Mark Schemes

Q1.

Question Number	Acceptable Answer	Additional Guidance	Mark
(a)(i)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of <math>V = \pi r^2 t</math> (1)</li> <li>• Use of half resolution to calculate % uncertainty in <math>d</math> (1)</li> <li>• % uncertainty in area = <math>2 \times</math> (% uncertainty in <math>d</math>) (1)</li> <li>• Calculation of % uncertainty in <math>t</math> (1)</li> <li>• % uncertainty in <math>t</math> added to % uncertainty in area (1)</li> <li>• <math>V = 5.94 \times 10^{-7} \text{m}^3</math> (1)</li> <li>• % <math>U = 0.4 \%</math> (1)</li> </ul>	<p>Allow MP4 for use of full resolution if MP2 was withheld for not using half resolution</p> <p><u>Example of calculation</u></p> $V = \pi \left( \frac{22.16 \times 10^{-3} \text{m}}{2} \right)^2 \times 1.54 \times 10^{-3} \text{m}$ $\therefore V = 5.94 \times 10^{-7} \text{m}^3$ $\% U = \left( 2 \times \frac{0.005 \text{ mm}}{22.16 \text{ mm}} + \frac{0.005 \text{ mm}}{1.54 \text{ mm}} \right) \times 100\%$ $\% U = 0.36 \%$	7

<p>(a)(ii)</p>	<p><b>EITHER</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of <math>\rho = \frac{m}{v}</math> (allow use of <math>V</math> from (i)) (1)</li> <li>• Calculation of % U in <math>\rho</math> (1)</li> <li>• Max density = <math>1.9 \times 10^4 \text{ kg m}^{-3}</math> (ecf from (a)(i))</li> <li>• <math>1.9 \times 10^4 \text{ kg m}^{-3} &lt; 1.93 \times 10^4 \text{ kg m}^{-3}</math> so coin not pure gold (1)</li> </ul> <p><b>Or</b> comparison of calculated value of max density with density of gold and consistent conclusion (1)</p> <p><b>OR</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of <math>\rho = \frac{m}{v}</math> to calculate volume of a pure gold coin with mass 11.2g (1)</li> <li>• Mass of pure gold coin = 11.5 g (ecf from (a)(i)) (1)</li> <li>• Use of half resolution to calculate max mass of coin being tested (1)</li> </ul>	<p>For MP2, allow use of resolution if use of half resolution has already been penalised in (a)(i)</p> <p>Allow a conclusion that states the values are similar so it might be gold MP4, allow “density of pure gold” for <math>1.93 \times 10^4 \text{ kg m}^{-3}</math></p> <p>For MP3, allow use of resolution if use of half resolution has already been penalised in (a)(i)</p> <p>MP4: allow “density of pure gold” for <math>1.93 \times 10^4 \text{ kg m}^{-3}</math></p> <p><u>Example of calculation</u></p> $\rho = \frac{m}{v} = \frac{11.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg}}{5.94 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^3}$ $= 1.89 \times 10^4 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ $\% U = \frac{0.05 \text{ g}}{11.2 \text{ g}} \times 100\% + 0.36\%$ $= 0.81 \%$ $\therefore \text{range} = \pm 1.89 \times 10^4 \text{ kg} \times 0.008$ $= \pm 152 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ $\therefore \text{max density} = 18900 + 150$ $= 19050 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$	<p>4</p>
----------------	---	---	----------

- $11.25 \text{ g} < 11.5 \text{ g}$ , so coin is not pure gold  
**Or** comparison of maximum value of mass of coin with mass pure gold coin and consistent conclusion.

(1)

**OR**

- Use of  $\rho = \frac{m}{v}$  to calculate volume of a pure gold coin with mass 11.2g

(1)

- Use of half resolution to calculate % U in mass ( $0.05/11.2 \times 100\% = 0.44\%$ ), and hence calculated volume

(1)

- Find the *smallest* volume possible within uncertainty from part (i)

(1)

- $5.83 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^3 < 5.91 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^3$ , so coin not pure gold  
**Or** comparison of minimum value of volume of coin from part i with maximum volume of pure gold coin and consistent conclusion.

(1)

Question Number	Acceptable Answer		Additional Guidance	Mark
(b)	<p><b>MAX 4</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Measure thickness of a stack of coins <b>Or</b> measure the mass of a stack of coins</li> <li>(Uncertainty remains the same, so) % U is reduced [MP2 dependent on MP1]</li> <li>Determine diameter/mass/thickness by repeating measurement and calculating a mean</li> <li>This reduces the effect of random errors</li> <li>Check and correct for zero error on calipers or balance</li> <li>This reduced the effect of systematic error</li> </ul>	<p>(1)</p> <p>(1)</p> <p>(1)</p> <p>(1)</p> <p>(1)</p> <p>(1)</p>	<p>MP1 accept measuring a number of coins side by side</p> <p>MP1 accept using a balance with a higher resolution MP2 as this would reduce the uncertainty</p> <p>MP4 dependent on MP3</p> <p>MP6 dependent on MP5</p>	4

Q2.

Question Number	Acceptable answers		Additional guidance	Mark
(a)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>use of density = mass / volume (1)</li> <li>use of <math>V = \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3</math> (1)</li> <li><math>r = 1720</math> m (1)</li> </ul>		<p><u>Example of calculation:</u>  <math>V = 1.0 \times 10^{13} \text{ kg} \div 470 \text{ kg m}^{-3}</math>  <math>= 2.13 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3</math>  <math>= \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3</math>  <math>r = \sqrt[3]{(2.13 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3 \times 3) \div 4\pi}</math>  <math>= 1720 \text{ m}</math></p>	(3)

Question Number	Acceptable answers		Additional guidance	Mark
(b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>use of <math>g = GM/r^2</math> (1)</li> <li><math>g = 2.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ N kg}^{-1}</math> (1)</li> </ul>		<p><u>Example of calculation:</u>  <math>g = 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \text{ N m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-2} \times 1.0 \times 10^{13} \text{ kg} / (1720 \text{ m})^2</math>  <math>g = 2.25 \times 10^{-4} \text{ N kg}^{-1}</math></p>	(2)

Question Number	Acceptable answers	Additional guidance	Mark
-----------------	--------------------	---------------------	------

Question Number	Acceptable answers	Additional guidance	Mark
<b>(c)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• use of <math>s = \frac{1}{2}gt^2</math> (1)</li> <li>• <math>s = 1.2 \times 10^3</math> m (1)</li> </ul>	<u>Example of calculation:</u> $s = 0.5 \times 2.25 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$ $\times (3300 \text{ s})^2$ $= 1.2 \times 10^3 \text{ m}$	<b>(2)</b>

Question Number	Acceptable answers	Additional guidance	Mark
<b>(d)</b>	<p>An explanation that makes reference to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the calculated height is comparable with the radius (of asteroid) (1)</li> <li>• the field should be considered as radial rather than parallel, so the gravitational field strength is decreasing significantly for the probe (1)</li> </ul> <p><u>OR</u></p> <p><math>g = GM/r^2</math> (1)</p> <p>the change in <math>r</math> is comparable with the radius, so there will be a significant change in <math>g</math></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• acceleration is less, so the actual height would be less (1)</li> </ul>		<b>(3)</b>