

Name of the Student: \_\_\_\_\_

Max. Marks : 17 Marks

Time : 17 Minutes

## Mark Schemes

**Q1.**

- (a) Speed =  $3.0 \times 10^8 / 1.47$   
 $= 2.0(4) \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  ✓

*Do not accept 1 sf answer*

1

- (b) Critical angle calculation ✓  
 $\sin C = n_{\text{clad}} / n_{\text{core}} = 1.41 / 1.47 = 0.96$   
 critical angle =  $73.6^\circ$   
 Angle of refraction calculation ✓  
 $r = 90 - C = 16.4^\circ$

*Do not give MP2 if calculated answer is given as A*

Angle of incidence calculation ✓  $\sin(i) = 1.47 \sin(r)$   
 $i = 24.5^\circ$

*Allow 2 sf answer; allow  $24.6^\circ$* 

3

- (c) Correct path of light drawn showing partial reflection and transmission of ray when it encounters the boundary ✓

Angle of incidence on core–cladding boundary decreases ✓  
 And will now be less than critical angle ✓  
 (Some light will escape/be refracted into cladding  
 Some light will continue)

*If the diagram is not annotated and no other mark is given, 1 mark can be given for correct description of partial reflection.*

3

**[7]****Q2.**

- (a) reads off  $\lambda_p$  1 ✓

*for 1 ✓ condone POT;**expect  $\lambda_p = 635 \pm 2 \text{ (nm)}$  /* *$635 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-9} / 6.35 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-7} \text{ (m)}$* *allow evidence of working on **Figure 1***

1

use of  $n \times \text{their } \lambda_p = d \sin \theta$  2 ✓

*for 2 ✓ accept subject  $n$  with no / incomplete substitution, eg*

$$N = \frac{\sin \theta}{n \times \lambda_p}$$

OR

subject  $d$  and full substitution, eg

$$d = \frac{5 \times \text{their } \lambda_p}{\sin 76.3} / 5.15 \times \text{their } \lambda_p \quad 5.15 \times \text{their } \lambda_p$$

OR

correct result  $d = 3.27 (\times 10^{-6} \text{ (m)})$ ;

allow ECF in  $\lambda_p$  including POT;

allow recognisable  $d$  / 2 sf intermediate result

3

$$N = \left( \frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{3.27 \times 10^{-6}} \right) = 3.06 \times 10^5 \quad 3 \checkmark$$

for  $3 \checkmark$  accept  $\geq 3$  sf in range  $3.05$  to  $3.07 \times 10^5$  OR

$$N = \frac{0.194}{\text{their } \lambda_p} \quad (\text{allow ECF for } \lambda_p \text{ out of range but not if due to POT})$$

1

- (b) identifies an appropriate physical characteristic that makes the measurement of the (5<sup>th</sup>) maximum more difficult  $\checkmark$

take 'it' to be the 5<sup>th</sup> maximum / peak

(centre difficult to locate because)

(5<sup>th</sup>) 'maximum is wider' / 'peak less pronounced' / 'less defined' or wtte;

allow 'maximum more spread out' / 'less pronounced'

OR

maximum 'is fainter' / 'less bright' / 'intensity reduced';

reject 'not as clear'

OR

(cannot use edges to determine location of centre because)

'whole maximum (may be) not visible' / 'can't see edges'

OR

( $L_R$  produces a range of wavelengths so)

4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> / adjacent fringes may overlap

1

- (c) extrapolation of linear region of the  $L_R$  characteristic  $1 \checkmark$

for  $1 \checkmark$  reads off where a ruled extrapolation to the linear region of the  $L_R$  characteristic reaches the horizontal axis

the line must be free from discontinuities; condone a ruled dashed line

condone tangent meeting curve at  $I \geq 10 \text{ mA}$

$V_A$  for  $L_R$  in range  $1.91$  to  $1.93 \text{ (V)}$   $2 \checkmark$

for  $2 \checkmark$   $> 3$  sf acceptable if rounding to 3 sf

2

- (d) any fully correct calculation of the Planck constant  $1 \checkmark$

for  $1 \checkmark$  allow 2 sf

use of  $c = 3(.00) \times 10^8$  AND  $e = 1.6(0) \times 10^{-19}$

AND EITHER

$V_A$  from (c) AND  $\lambda_p$  in range 620 to 650 nm / ECF their  $\lambda_p$  from (a)

OR

$V_A = 2.00$  AND  $\lambda_p$  in range 550 to 580 nm;

calculates mean of two valid calculations of the Planck constant;

result in range  $6.10$  to  $6.50 \times 10^{-34}$  (J s) 2✓

for 2✓ Planck constant result rounding to correct 3 sf

(check very carefully working leading to data booklet value  $6.63 \times 10^{-34}$ )

1

(e)  $V_F$  corresponding to  $I_F = 21$  mA read from  $L_R$  graph in **Figure 3**;

use of  $V_F = 2.01$  (V) leading to  $R = 195$  ( $\Omega$ ) earns both marks

calculates  $R$  from  $\frac{6.1 - \text{their } V_F}{21(0 \times 10^{-3})}$  1✓

for 1✓ accept evidence of working on **Figure 3** condone 2 sf eg  $V_F = 2.0$  (V)

allow POT error for  $I_F$

1

$R = 195$  ( $\Omega$ ) from  $\frac{6.10 - 2.01}{21(0) \times 10^{-3}} = 195$  2✓ 195 2✓

for 2✓ evidence to show use of  $V_F = 2.01 \pm 0.01$  (V) must be seen, ie allow

$\frac{6.10 - 2.00}{21(0) \times 10^{-3}} = 195$  OR  $\frac{6.10 - 2.02}{21(0) \times 10^{-3}} = 194$

1

[10]